# Stop Writing So Much Code

## Coding is hard You are bad at it

# Coding is hard You are bad at it I am monumentally lazy

# Writing code leads to errors

**Bugs** 

Doing things the wrong way

# Bugs

Steve McConnell "Code Complete"

"1 to 5 bugs per 100 lines of code"

Casper Jones "Applied Software Measurement"

"1.5 bugs per 100 lines of code"

```
sum(x, y)
{
    return(x+y)
}
```

```
sum(x, y)
{
    return(x+y)
}
```



Java, C, C++, Haskell

```
public float sum(float x, float y)
{
   return(x+y);
}
```



HA HA! Actual chaos

```
sum(x, y)
{
    return(x+y)
}
```

### Java, C, C++, Haskell

```
public float sum(float x, float y)
{
   return(x+y);
}
```

### Ruby, Python, Perl, R, javascript

```
sum_floats(x, y)
{
    if ! x.istype('float')
        raise
    if ! y.istype('float')
        raise

    return(x+y)
}

try
    sum(1.2, 4.5)
catch
    break
```

```
def
      check arguments(self, kwargs):
        \# flags = (strings,)
        if os.path.isdir(kwargs['tmp path']):
            self.tmp path = kwargs.pop('tmp path', '')
        else:
            raise OSError('tmp path provided does not exist')
        if isinstance(kwargs['tmp id'], str):
            self.tmp_id = kwargs.pop('tmp id', '')
        else:
            raise TypeError('tmp id must be a string')
        if isinstance(kwargs['command'], str):
            self.command = kwargs.pop('command', '')
        else:
            raise TypeError('command must be a string')
        if 'std out str' in kwargs:
            if isinstance(kwargs['std out str'], str):
                self.std out str = kwargs.pop('std out str', '')
            else:
                raise TypeError('std out str must be a str')
        if 'input data' in kwargs:
            if isinstance(kwargs['input data'], dict):
                self.input data = kwargs.pop('input data', '')
            else:
                raise TypeError('input data must be a dict')
```

Gary Bernhardt https://www.destroyallsoftware.com/talks/wat

# Willingly Doing Things Badly

Choosing to get involved in things you know nothing about

### Choosing the wrong language/technology

Things that never save time

Someone else has done it before you

The solution is in a book you've not bothered to open (or even heard of!)

# Terrible Thing #1

### **UCL Department Of Computer Science**

### **Bioinformatics Group**

### Site Navigation

Introduction

People

Projects Publications

Web Servers

Downloads

Vacancies

Contact

Group Intranet

### Server Navigation

PSIPRED Server

Server Overview Server Citation

Help & Tutorials

News

History

Software Download

### The PSIPRED Protein Sequence Analysis Workbench

The PSIPRED Protein Sequence Analysis Workbench aggregates several UCL structure prediction methods into one location. Users can submit a protein sequence, perform the predictions of their choice and receive the results of the prediction via e-mail or the web. For a summary of the available methods you can read More...

NOTE: users who need to run our methods on a large number of proteins should consider downloading our software using the menu on the left (Server Navigation -> Software Download).

#### The PSIPRED Team

Current Contributors David T. Jones, Daniel Buchan, Tim Nugent, Federico Minneci & Kevin Bryson

Previous Contributors Anna Lobley, Sean Ward, Liam J. McGuffin

For queries regarding PSIPRED: psipred@cs.ucl.ac.uk

Choose Prediction Methods	
PSIPRED v3.3 (Predict Secondary Structure)	☐ DISOPRED3 & DISOPRED2 (Disorder Prediction)
pGenTHREADER (Profile Based Fold Recognition)	☐ MEMSAT3 & MEMSAT-SVM (Membrane Helix Prediction)
BioSerf v2.0 (Automated Homology Modelling)	DomPred (Protein Domain Prediction)
FFPred 3 (Eukaryotic Function Prediction)	GenTHREADER (Rapid Fold Recognition)
MEMPACK (SVM Prediction of TM Topology and Helix Packing)	pDomTHREADER (Fold Domain Recognition)
DomSerf v2.0 (Automated Domain Modelling by Homology)	
elp	
Input Sequence (Single sequence or Multiple Sequence a	alignments; as raw sequence or fasta format)
	ence.
	ence.
f you wish to test these services follow this link to retrieve a test fasta seque Submission Details	ence.
Email Address for job completion alert (optional)	ence.
f you wish to test these services follow this link to retrieve a test fasta sequence Submission Details  mail Address for job completion alert (optional)	ence.
f you wish to test these services follow this link to retrieve a test fasta sequence Submission Details  Email Address for job completion alert (optional)  Help	ence.
f you wish to test these services follow this link to retrieve a test fasta seque  Submission Details  mail Address for job completion alert (optional)  lelp  assword (only required for licenced commercial e-mail addresses)	ence.
f you wish to test these services follow this link to retrieve a test fasta seque Submission Details	ence.
f you wish to test these services follow this link to retrieve a test fasta sequence Submission Details  mail Address for job completion alert (optional)  lelp  assword (only required for licenced commercial e-mail addresses)  lelp	ence.
f you wish to test these services follow this link to retrieve a test fasta sequence of the services follow this link to retrieve a test fasta sequence of the services of the	ence.
f you wish to test these services follow this link to retrieve a test fasta sequence of the services follow this link to retrieve a test fasta sequence of the services of the	ence.

We know nothing about queuing!!!!

## Alternatives

- RabbitMQ/Celery
- Beanstalkd
- GridEngine
- Apache Hadoop
- Apache Spark

- - -

# Writing code leads to errors

**Bugs** 

Doing things the wrong way

## Solution

Let other people do the work for you

(while you get the credit!)

# Not Invented Here Syndrome

# The rejection of other's work in favour of doing it yourself

Leading to...

# Why reinvent?

- General distrust of other's work
- Uncertainty of future availability
- Unaware of what is available



External

# Terrible Thing #2

I have written an x-fold validation library in PERL

R: Caret

Python: Scikit-Learn

Matlab

Mathematica

. . .

# Why reinvent?

•

•

- Coding is fun!
- Reading docs is boring
- Bad at estimating
- Smart people like a challenge

Coding! Reading



Internal

# Why reinvent?

- General Distrust of other's work
- Uncertainty of future availability
- Unaware of what is available
- Coding is fun!
- Reading docs is boring
- Bad at estimating
- Smart people like a challenge
- Hubris!

# Terrible Thing #3

St Bart's Genome Centre

Laboratory Information Management System

Essentially reimplemented a webframework

# Things That Exist















# Why reinvent?

- General Distrust of other's work
- Uncertainty of future availability
- Unaware of what is available
- Coding is fun!
- Reading does is bering
- Smart people like a challenge
- Hubris!

# Benefits of lettings others do the work

- You write less code
  - c.f. coding is hard and buggy
- It's quicker to read the docs than build it yourself
- They are the experts
- You can concentrate on the domain of your problem and not on issues you know nothing about
- You get to spend more time with puppies and kittens

# Terrible Thing #4

I once tried to use BioPERL

# Benefits of lettings others do the work

- You write less code
  - c.f. coding is hard and buggy
- It's quicker to read the docs than build it yourself
- They are the experts
- You can concentrate on the domain of your problem and not on issues you know nothing about
- You get to spend more time with puppies and kittens

# Using other's work

1000s lines of Java





http://analyticsautomated.github.io

# How do I avoid writing code?

- The Download and learn
  - Great CV fodder
- Frameworks; always try to reduce your problem to one of configuration
- Better workflow
  - Language
  - IDE : Eclipse/Netbeans/Atom/SublimeText2/Vi/Emacs
- Work with others
- Code review
- Tests!
- Goodbye XML

# When to write code yourself

- There literally is no solution available
  - The available thing does not work
  - The available thing is not maintained
- Future availability is uncertain
- You are provably the world expert on the thing

• UI

# In Summary

Less Code == Less Bugs

Less Code == Faster development

Don't make the same mistakes I have