Band	Band descriptors should be read in conjunction with QAA's descriptor for a higher education qualification at level 7 on FHEQ, which can be found at the following link - https://www.qaa.ac.uk/docs/qaa/quality-code/qualificationsframeworks.pdf Band descriptors should be applied as appropriate to the assignment in question. The descriptors should be applied as "best fit". It is unlikely that every aspect of each band's descriptors will apply to any single assignment — hence the importance of providing students with interpretations of these descriptors at assignment level.	Grade	Award classification equivalence
------	--	-------	----------------------------------

Excellent	Work which is primarily characterised by the following:	Α	Distinction
	Outstanding grasp of the academic discipline/field of study/area of professional practice, often characterised by a lucid critical awareness of current problems/new insights		
	Exceptional level of critical insight		
	 Evidence of extensive relevant and appropriate reading/viewing/listening 		
	 Discerning/highly creative use of relevant and appropriate sources/materials 		
	 Excellent understanding and/or highly skilled application of knowledge/techniques/methodologies, underpinned by high levels of creativity/originality/independence of thought 		
	 Excellent level of critical evaluation of advanced scholarship and/or research relevant to the field of study or area of professional practice 		
	Outstanding grasp of how research/enquiry/advanced scholarship/professional practice creates and navigates knowledge		
	Outstanding grasp of the relevance of research/enquiry/advanced scholarship to (the student's own) research/practice/professional context		
	Highly competent and critical handling, presentation and interpretation of data		
	Exceptional clarity, coherence and focus		
	Exceptional cogency of communication to (target) specialist/nonspecialist audiences		

Good	Work which is primarily characterised by the following:	В	Merit
	 Clear grasp of the academic discipline/field of study/area of professional practice, often characterised by a critical awareness of current problems/new insights 		
	Good level of critical insight		
	 Evidence of a wide range of relevant and appropriate reading/viewing/listening 		
	 Carefully considered/creative and valid use of relevant and appropriate sources/materials 		
	 Good understanding and/or skilled application of knowledge/techniques/methodologies, underpinned by creativity/originality/independence of thought 		
	Good level of critical evaluation of advanced scholarship and/or research relevant to the field of study and/or area of professional practice		
	 Clear grasp of how research/enquiry/advanced scholarship/professional practice creates and navigates knowledge 		
	Clear grasp of the relevance of research/enquiry/advanced scholarship to (the student's own) research/practice/professional context		
	Competent and critical handling, presentation and interpretation of data		
	Good clarity, coherence and focus		
	 Good cogency of communication to (target) specialist/non-specialist audiences 		

Satisfactory	Work which is primarily characterised by the following:	С	Pass
	 Adequate grasp of the academic discipline/field of study/area of professional practice, often characterised by some awareness of current problems/new insights, and with no/minimal misunderstanding Satisfactory level of critical insight Evidence of relevant and appropriate reading/viewing/listening Wholly/mostly valid use of relevant and appropriate sources/materials, with some evidence of creativity Satisfactory understanding and/or appropriate application of knowledge/techniques/methodologies, some of which is underpinned by creativity/originality/independence of thought Satisfactory level (with no or minimal misunderstanding) of critical evaluation of advanced scholarship and/or research relevant to the field of study and/or area of professional practice, often weighted to the descriptive 		
	Adequate grasp of how research/enquiry/advanced scholarship/professional practice creates and navigates knowledge, with no/minimal misunderstanding		
	Adequate grasp of the relevance of research/enquiry/advanced scholarship to (the student's own) research/practice/professional context		
	 Rudimental handling, presentation and interpretation of data, with no/minimal evidence of criticality Satisfactory clarity, coherence and focus Satisfactory cogency of communication to (target) specialist/nonspecialist audiences 		

Work which is primarily characterised by the following: Unsatisfactory D (Condonable Inadequate grasp of the academic discipline/field of study/area of fail*) professional practice, characterised by insufficient awareness of current problems/new insights, and with numerous minor and/or one or more major misunderstandings Unsatisfactory level of critical insight Reading/viewing/listening is largely irrelevant and/or inappropriate Insufficient and/or invalid use of relevant and appropriate sources/materials, lacking in creativity Insufficient understanding and/or inappropriate application of knowledge/techniques/methodologies, with numerous minor and/or one or more major misunderstandings Unsatisfactory level (with numerous minor and/or one or more major misunderstandings) of critical evaluation of advanced scholarship and/or research relevant to the field of study and/or area of professional practice Inadequate grasp of how research/enquiry/advanced scholarship/professional practice creates and navigates knowledge, with numerous minor and/or one or more major misunderstandings Inadequate grasp of the relevance of research/enquiry/advanced scholarship to (the student's own) research/practice/professional context Inadequate/confused/non-critical handling, presentation and interpretation of data Lacking in clarity, coherence and focus, to the extent that communication is hindered

Lacking in cogency to the extent that access for (target)

specialist/nonspecialist audiences is hindered

Poor	 Work which is primarily characterised by the following: Negligible/no grasp of the academic discipline/field of study/area of professional practice Negligible/no critical insight Reading/viewing/listening is irrelevant/insufficient/inappropriate Irrelevant and inappropriate sources/materials Negligible/no understanding of knowledge/techniques/methodologies No/incorrect application of knowledge/techniques/methodologies Negligible/no evaluation of advanced scholarship and/or research relevant to the field of study and/or area of professional practice Negligible/no grasp of how research/enquiry/advanced scholarship/professional practice creates and navigates knowledge Negligible/no grasp of the relevance of research/enquiry/advanced scholarship to (the student's own) research/practice/professional context Inaccurate/confused/non-critical handling, presentation and interpretation of data throughout Unclear, incoherent, and unfocused Inaccessible to (target) specialist/non-specialist audiences 	E (Noncondonable fail)	
Negligible/no s	ubmission	F (Noncondonable fail)	

 $^{{}^*}$ Only applicable on condonable modules.

UCL IOE

Approved by Faculty Education Committee June 2023